

**From:** Carlos Santellanes  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/9/02 12:01am  
**Subject:** Justice please

In late 1998 and early 1999, the United States District Court found that Microsoft had violated both sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act.

Any remedy seeking to prevent an extension of Microsoft's monopoly must place Microsoft products as extra-cost options in the purchase of new computers, so that the user who does not wish to purchase them is not forced to do so. This means that for the price differential between a new computer with Microsoft software and one without, a computer seller must offer the software without the computer (which would prevent computer makers from saying that the difference in price is only a few dollars). Only then could competition come to exist in a meaningful way.

The specifications of Microsoft's present and future document file formats must be made public, so that documents created in Microsoft applications may be read by programs from other makers, on Microsoft's or other operating systems. This is in addition to opening the Windows application program interface (API, the set of "hooks" that allow other parties to write applications for Windows operating systems), which is already part of the proposed settlement.

Any Microsoft networking protocols must be published in full and approved by an independent network protocol body. This would prevent Microsoft from seizing de facto control of the Internet.

It is crucial that Microsoft's operating system monopoly not be extended, and in this I quote the study released a year ago by the highly respected Center for Strategic and International Studies, which pointed out that the use of Microsoft software actually poses a national security risk. In closing, I say that all are surely in agreement that the resolution of this case is of great importance, not just now but for many years to come. This suggests a careful and deliberate penalty is far more important to the health of the nation than is a hasty one.

End Note:

The recent attempt by Microsoft to Hijack the penalty phase of this court proceedings shouldn't be allowed to stand a minute of contemplation, the remedy must be fair and blind to partisanship. By giving into the Microsoft remedy you would be basically giving the 'Hen house to the wolves'. By Flooding the educational market with 'FREE' Microsoft products would only serve to fatten an already fat giant. I beg you to please impose REAL punishment onto the REAL criminals of this case. Anything else would be punishing

the unprotected and innocent buying public.

Sincerely,  
Carlos Santellanes

Los Angeles, California  
nrique@earthlink.net

--

-----

\* "If only we'd stop trying to be happy we could have a pretty good time."

~ Edith Warton

:-)

NOTICE - This message contains information intended only for the use of the addressee named above. It may also be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that you must not disseminate, copy or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this message in error please disregard.